UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NICHOLAS MEEKS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES, d/b/a Discover Card,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT and DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

5:15-CV-1353 (BKS/TWD)

NOW COMES Plaintiff, Nicholas Meeks ("Plaintiff"), by and through attorneys, Krohn & Moss, Ltd., for this Complaint against Defendant, Discover Financial Services, d/b/a Discover Card ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

Nature of the Action

1. This action is brought by Plaintiff pursuant to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Onondaga County, New York.
- 3. Defendant is a business entity incorporated in Delaware with an office located in Carol Stream, Illinois.
- 4. Defendant acted though its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 5. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. § 227.
- 6. Because Defendant conducts business in the state of New York, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 7. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as the conduct giving rise to this action occurred in this district as Plaintiff resides in this district and Defendant transacts business in this district.

Factual Allegations

- 8. In 2015 Defendant started calling (315) 460-00XX, Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 9. The purpose of Defendant's calls was to collect a debt.
- 10. These calls were for a non-emergency purpose.
- 11. Upon information and good faith belief, based on the frequency, number, nature and character of these calls, Defendant placed them by using an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 12. On or about July 24, 2015, at approximately 8:21 a.m., Plaintiff instructed Defendant, through its employee, representative, or agent to stop calling him.
- 13. Defendant continued to use an automatic telephone dialing system to call Plaintiff's cell phone.
 - 14. Plaintiff received the following calls after revoking consent:

August 1, 2015 - 2 calls

August 9, 2014- 4 calls

August 10, 2014 – 4 calls

August 11, 2015 - 4 calls

August 12, 2015 - 2 calls

August 13, 2015 – 4 calls

August 15, 2015 - 4 calls

August 16, 2015 - 4 calls

August 17, 2015 - 4 calls

August 18, 2015 – 4 calls

August 19, 2015 – 4 calls

August 20, 2015 - 4 calls

August 22, 2015 - 4 calls

August 23, 2015 - 4 calls

August 24, 2015 – 4 calls

August 26, 2015 – 5 calls

August 27, 2015 - 4 calls

August 28, 2015 - 5 calls

August 29, 2015 – 5 calls

August 30, 2015 - 4 calls

August 31, 2015 - 5 calls

September 2, 2015 - 4 calls

September 3, 2015 - 4 calls

September 5, 2015 - 4 calls

September 6, 2015 - 4 calls

September 7, 2015 - 5 calls

September 8, 2015 - 5 calls

September 9, 2015 - 3 calls

September 10, 2015 - 4 calls

September 12, 2015 - 5 calls

September 13, 2015 - 4 calls

September 14, 2015 – 6 calls

September 15, 2015 - 5 calls

September 16, 2015 4 calls

September 17, 2015 - 3 calls

September 19, 2015 – 1 call

- 15. Between August 1 and September 19, 2015, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system to call Plaintiff's cellular telephone at least one hundred forty-five (145) times.
 - 16. Defendant called Plaintiff's cell phone multiple times per day.
 - 17. Defendant called Plaintiff's cell phone up to six (6) times in a single day.
- 18. Defendant willfully and voluntarily used an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.
- 19. Defendant intended to use an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.
- 20. Defendant did not have Plaintiff's express consent to use an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

21. Defendant's actions alleged *supra* constitute numerous negligent violations of the

TCPA, entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every

violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

22. Defendant's actions alleged *supra* constitute numerous and multiple knowing

and/or willful violates of the TCPA, entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$1500.00 in statutory

damages for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. §

227(b)(3)(C).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for the

following:

(1) Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each and every negligent violation of the TCPA

pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(B);

(2) Statutory damages of \$1500.00 for each and every knowing and/or willful violation

of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(b) and 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(C);

(3) All court costs, witness fees and other fees incurred; and

(4) Awarding such other and further relief as may be just, proper and equitable.

Dated: November 16, 2015

KROHN & MOSS, LTD.

By: /s/ Shireen Hormozdi_

Shireen Hormozdi

Bar Roll #SH1818

KROHN & MOSS, LTD.

10474 Santa Monica Blvd.

Suite 405

Los Angeles, CA 90025

Tel: (323) 988-2400 x 267

Fax: (866) 861-1390

Email: shormozdi@consumerlawcenter.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

5

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, Nicholas Meeks, hereby demands a jury trial in this matter.